

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,704	05/24/2004	Alex Colvin	81100109	3703
46535 . 7	590 06/28/2007		EXAMINER	
BIR LAW, PI 13092 GLASG				•
	MI 48170-5241	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				T11				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/709,704	COLVIN ET AL.					
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Eric S. McCall	2855					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	The Appeal Brief filed on <u>28 February 2007</u> is defective 41.37.	e for failure to comply with o	ne or more provisions	of 37 CFR				
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is lo EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.								
	1. The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	25 The first to the first to first the proper						
		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
	claims involved in the appeal, referring to the s by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fait appeal and for each dependent claim argued s 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set as corresponding to each claimed function with	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
	5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection	n presented for review	(37 CFR				
	6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	resent an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (3						
	7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an ap	pendix thereto (37 CF	R				
	other evidence entered by the examiner and re	brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any revidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a sement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix eto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
	9. The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interference 41.37(c)(1)(x)).	——————————————————————————————————————	•	_				
	10. Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):						

Eric S. McCall Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2855

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

On Oct. 16, 2006, the Appellant submitted an Appeal Brief on which the Examiner acted. In the Appeal Brief, the Appellant stated that the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) stands or falls with the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) and as such no separate arguments were provided. The Examiner's Answer was prepared reflecting such a position and submitted. The Appellant then filed a Reply Brief.

However, the office then mailed a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief on Feb. 23, 2007. The Appellant then filed another Appeal Brief on Feb. 28, 2007 going beyond what was needed for correction. The Appellant changed the scope of the Appeal Brief and now set forth that the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) does not stand or fall with the rejection under 35 USC 102(b). The Appellant has now provided extensive arguments addressing the "103" rejection that were never addressed in the Examiner's Answer because such arguments were not present in the acted-upon Appeal Brief.

The Appellant needs to correct the deficiencies listed in the office's notice of Feb. 28, 2007 without changing the scope of the Appeal Brief because the Examiner's Answer has already been established and set forth.